

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,726	09/08/2003	Ken Utagawa	117044	4793
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 05/03/2007 RIDGE PLC		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 19928			NGUYEN, LUONG TRUNG	
ALEXANDRI.	A, VA 22320	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/656,726	UTAGAWA, KEN			
		Examiner	Art Unit ·			
		LUONG T. NGUYEN	2622			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exter - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tivil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed not the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 February 2007</u> .					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)∐	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 433 C.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-10 and 29 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) 20-28 is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1,13-15,18 and 19</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>11,12,16,17 and 30</u> is/are objected to.					
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
•		·				
	ion Papers		•			
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 September 2003</u> is/a		ated to by the Evenines			
10)[•	•			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		·				
Attachmen						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- Applicant's election without traverse of Species VII, Figure 29, which reads on claims 1,
 4-5, 8, 11-20, 25-27, 30-31 in the reply filed on 02/07/2007 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 2-3, 6-7, 9-10, 29 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 02/07/2007.

In addition, claims 4-5, 8 are withdrawn from consideration by the Examiner for the reasons discussed below.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "a wiring pattern of said transport electrodes in the odd number array and a wiring pattern of said transport electrodes in the even number array are shifted so as to eliminate the half phase shift of the pixel blocks and align the pixel blocks in a same phase on said vertical paths," which reads on Figures 15-17. Figures 15-17 are nonelected Species. Therefore, claim 4 and claims 5, 8, which depend on claim 4, are withdrawn from consideration.

Priority

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,726 Page 3

Art Unit: 2622

Information Disclosure Statement

4. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 09/08/2003, 11/05/2003 and 02/07/2007 have been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 14, 15, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka (US 6,982,751) in view of Yamada (US 6,914,633).

Regarding claim 1, Tanaka discloses an imaging device comprising:

a plurality of photosensors (sensor blocks 11, figures 1, 5; column 6, lines 15-23) arranged in matrix on a light-receiving surface of the imaging device, for generating photo signals in accordance with an amount of received light;

a readout section (vertical section 13, figures 1, 5; column 6, lines 15-23; column 9, lines 5-15) for adding up the generated photo signals in each of pixel blocks for external output, the pixel blocks being set on the light-receiving surface, and wherein each of the pixel blocks is constituted of N ($N \ge 2$) photosensors in an array direction of the matrix (column 9, lines 5-15; column 10, lines 34-46).

Tanaka fails to specifically disclose the pixel blocks in an even number array and the pixel blocks in an odd number array are shifted in phase by half a phase in the array direction.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,726

Art Unit: 2622

50-54).

However, Yamada teaches a solid state image pickup device, in which an odd column is disposed being shifted by about a half pitch from an even column (figure 1, column 5, lines 10-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Tanaka by the teaching of Yamada in order to be

capable of realizing high pixel integration and improving a transfer performance of each transfer

path without degrading the performance of photoelectric conversion elements (column 2, lines

Regarding claims 14, 19, Tanaka discloses wherein said readout section selectively has a high-resolution transport mode in which photo signals are transported in each of said photosensors (frame read mode, column 9, lines 5-13).

Regarding claim 15, Tanaka discloses an imaging device comprising:

a plurality of photosensors (sensor blocks 11, figures 1, 5; column 6, lines 15-23) arranged in matrix on a light-receiving surface of the imaging device, for generating photo signals in accordance with an amount of received light;

a readout section (vertical section 13, figures 1, 5; column 6, lines 15-23; column 9, lines 5-15) for adding up the generated photo signals in each of pixel blocks set on the light-receiving surface for external output, and wherein each of the pixel blocks is constituted of N (N \geq 2) photosensors in an array direction of the matrix (column 9, lines 5-15; column 10, lines 34-46).

Tanaka fails to specifically disclose the plurality of photosensors arranged in matrix diagonally to horizontal and vertical directions on a light-receiving surface. However, Yamada

Application/Control Number: 10/656,726 Page 5

Art Unit: 2622

teaches a solid state image pickup device, in which an odd column is disposed being shifted by about a half pitch from an even column; the (figure 1, column 5, lines 10-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Tanaka by the teaching of Yamada in order to be capable of realizing high pixel integration and improving a transfer performance of each transfer path without degrading the performance of photoelectric conversion elements (column 2, lines 50-54).

7. Claims 13, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka (US 6,982,751) in view of Yamada (US 6,914,633) further in view of Gallagher et al. (US 6,765,611).

Regarding claims 13 and 18, Tanaka and Yamada fail to specifically disclose an optical low pass filter for blurring an optical image projected on the light-receiving surface in a direction substantially perpendicular to the array direction of the matrix. However, Gallagher et al. teaches an optical low pass filter 6, which is placed between lens and image sensing device 10, performs a slight blurring of the imaged light (figure 1, column 4, lines 19-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Tanaka and Yamada by the teaching of Gallagher et al. in order to reduce the occurrence of alising (column 4, lines 20-25).

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 20-28, 31 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,726

Art Unit: 2622

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 20, the prior art of the record fails to show or fairly suggest an imaging device comprising a readout section reading out the generated photo signals, wherein said readout section selectively has a grid imaging mode in which the generated photo signals on the light-receiving surface are sampled in a grid pattern for readout, and a diagonal grid imaging mode in which the generated photo signals on the light-receiving surface are sampled in a diagonal grid pattern for readout.

Claims 21-28 are allowable as being dependent on claim 20.

Regarding claim 31, the prior art of the record fails to show or fairly suggest an imaging device comprising wherein said vertical CCDs have two transport electrodes for each of said photosensors, and every two pairs of the two transport electrodes for the photosensors have electrically crosswise connection to each other, the photosensors being adjacent to each other in a horizontal direction.

9. Claims 11-12, 16-17, 30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,726 Page 7

Art Unit: 2622

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Iizuka (US 6,686,960) discloses method for driving an imaging device and image pickup device wherein signals corresponding to same color outputs are combined.

Shizukuishi (US 6,717,190) discloses solid-state image pickup device.

Kondo et al. (US 7,110,031) discloses state image pickup apparatus having pixel shift layout.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LUONG T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-7315. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DAVID L. OMETZ can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,726

Art Unit: 2622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LN 04/30/07

LUONG T. NGUYEN
PATENT EXAMINER

Lechaherabaucen